INTRODUCTION

Fibromyalgia is an extremely controversial medical condition.\(^1\) This controversy has also spilled over into the legal system, causing fibromyalgia to be “the most prevalent chronic pain syndrome found in litigation today.”\(^2\) This Note seeks to examine the area of fibromyalgia in the context of recovery under workers’ compensation statutes.

Part I of this Note addresses current medical knowledge about fibromyalgia. Part II explains the controversy over this mysterious illness. Part III sets forth the basics of recovery under workers’ compensation law. Part IV focuses on the problems fibromyalgia patients face in attempting to recover workers’ compensation benefits. Part V offers solutions for courts to allow fibromyalgic workers a fair chance at compensation.
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IV points out the many problems that arise when workers suffering from fibromyalgia attempt to recover workers’ compensation benefits. Finally, Part V offers possible solutions to solve these problems and allow real fibromyalgia sufferers a fair opportunity to be compensated.

I. OVERVIEW OF FIBROMYALGIA

A. Symptoms and Problems Associated with Fibromyalgia

Fibromyalgia is a chronic pain syndrome featuring widespread musculoskeletal pain and "generalized tender points."³ "The word fibromyalgia comes from the Latin term for fibrous tissue (fibro) and the Greek [terms] for muscle (myo) and pain (algia)."⁴ Unlike arthritis, which causes pain and swelling in the joints, fibromyalgia causes pain in the soft tissues located around joints, in skin, and in organs.⁵ The pain is usually a widespread aching or burning sensation that ranges from moderate discomfort to severe, disabling pain and varies from patient-to-patient, day-to-day, and location-to-location.⁶ Often, the pain is described by patients as "head-to-toe."⁷ It is a syndrome and not a disease.⁸ While a disease is linked to a specific cause or causes and identifiable signs and symptoms, a syndrome is a “collection of signs, symptoms, and medical problems that tend to occur together but are not related to a specific, identifiable cause.”⁹

In addition to widespread pain and tender points, fibromyalgia is often associated with a wide range of other problems. These problems most commonly include anxiety, fatigue, cognitive and memory difficulties (“fibro fog”),¹⁰ depression, irritable bladder syndrome (frequent and urgent urination), temperature sensitivity, paresthesias (tingling sensation), irritable bowel syndrome, and sleep disorder.¹¹ More than one-half of fi-
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Fibromyalgia patients also suffer from migraine headaches. While depression and anxiety are two common conditions found in fibromyalgia patients, “research has repeatedly shown that fibromyalgia is not a form of depression or hypochondriasis.”

Sleep disorders and resulting fatigue are another common condition in fibromyalgia patients. More than 90% of fibromyalgia patients report problems sleeping. Studies have revealed increased stage-one sleep (“light sleep”), decreased delta sleep (“deep sleep”), and an increase in arousals. Thus, even a full eight hours of sleep may often leave a fibromyalgia patient feeling as though they have not slept at all.

New research has also uncovered accelerated gray matter loss in the brains of fibromyalgia patients. A study revealed that fibromyalgia patients demonstrated a yearly decrease in gray matter volume at a rate more than three times that of the control group. Interestingly, the fibromyalgia patients’ gray matter loss occurred mainly in regions of the brain dealing with stress and pain processing. The structural changes may contribute to the maintenance of pain symptoms in fibromyalgia patients. These structural changes might also be a cause of the “fibro fog” many patients experience. A longitudinal study is necessary to discover whether the gray matter loss is a cause or consequence of fibromyalgia.

Research has also shown that fibromyalgia patients have significant abnormalities in the manner in which they process pain. Substance P, a chemical that aids in amplifying and transmitting pain signals to and from the brain, has been found at abnormally high levels in the spinal fluid of fibromyalgia patients. One study showed Substance P levels in fibromyalgia patients that were three times higher than that of the control group. Fibromyalgia patients also appear to have deficient levels of sero-
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tonin, which regulates the intensity of pain signals sent to the brain.\textsuperscript{27} Researchers from Georgetown University and the University of Michigan used MRI testing to discover that brain activity was elevated when they applied pressure to the thumbnails of fibromyalgia patients compared to the control group.\textsuperscript{28} Another study found that fibromyalgia patients take much longer to recover from pain than control groups.\textsuperscript{29} These biological responses are especially important because they differentiate fibromyalgia patients from the general population and may aid doctors and researchers in attempting to form an objective method for diagnosis, which currently does not exist.\textsuperscript{30}

The lack of an objective diagnosis results in more problems for those afflicted with fibromyalgia. There are no objective laboratory tests or imaging studies that can confirm the presence of fibromyalgia.\textsuperscript{31} Combined with the array of conditions many patients experience that may lead doctors in different directions, this can result in a long delay after the onset of symptoms before a proper diagnosis is made.\textsuperscript{32} Some patients are shipped from doctor to doctor for years (all the time suffering with the untreated symptoms) before being diagnosed with fibromyalgia.\textsuperscript{33}

The multitude of symptoms and problems associated with fibromyalgia can produce substantial problems for sufferers. Many fibromyalgia patients have difficulty functioning at work and home.\textsuperscript{34} In one study, fibromyalgia patients ranked their quality of life as 4.8 out of 10.\textsuperscript{35} The same patients, imagining their life without fibromyalgia, scored their future quality of life without the condition as 9.2 out of 10.\textsuperscript{36} Clearly, fibromyalgia has taken over the lives of many of its patients.

\section*{B. History of Fibromyalgia}

Although some consider it a “fad disease,” fibromyalgia-like symptoms have been described throughout history,\textsuperscript{37} even dating back to Bibli-
It has been identified through different names including chronic rheumatism, myalgia, and fibrositis. However, it was not until the late twentieth century that fibromyalgia garnered formal recognition.

The American Medical Association identified fibromyalgia as a physical illness and source of disability in 1987. In 1990, the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) set out two criteria that must be met for a diagnosis of fibromyalgia. First, chronic widespread pain, defined as “pain in all four quadrants of the body and the axial skeleton,” must have been present “for at least 3 months.” Second, a finding of pain must exist at a minimum of eleven out of eighteen tender-points sites when four kilograms of pressure (8.8 pounds) is applied. Although fibromyalgia is “not a diagnosis of exclusion and should be identified by its own characteristics,” no laboratory tests exist to confirm its presence. Therefore, a complete medical history and physical exam must be performed to rule out diseases that manifest symptoms similar to fibromyalgia and are more susceptible to an objective diagnosis. Such diseases include systemic lupus, polymyalgia rheumatica, myositis/polymyositis, thyroid disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and multiple sclerosis, among others.

While its exact prevalence is not known, some estimates suggest that fibromyalgia affects between approximately three and six million Americans. To put this into perspective, this is more than four times as many as will develop cancer this year, and six times as many as are living with HIV. Other estimates put the number even higher at around ten million Americans affected by fibromyalgia. Fibromyalgia is also not just an American phenomenon; it affects all races worldwide at rates consistent with other diseases.
with those found in the United States. Fibromyalgia affects women ten
times more often than it does men. However, some believe that at least
part of this discrepancy can be attributed to fibromyalgia being underdiag-
nosed in males. While it mainly affects women aged twenty to fifty, fi-
bromyalgia exists in all types of populations, men and women from young
to old.

C. Causes and Treatments of Fibromyalgia

Fibromyalgia’s causes are unknown. Some experts believe it can be
caused by a physically or emotionally traumatic event such as a car acci-
dent or surgery. Some attribute it to injuries or illness. Others believe it
is caused by viruses that alter the perception of pain. In some cases, fi-
bromyalgia occurs spontaneously, with no apparent cause. Genes appear
to play some role in causing fibromyalgia. It is more common in family
members of fibromyalgia patients and one study showed 28% of children
with fibromyalgic mothers developed the syndrome.

Fibromyalgia treatments are as diverse as its symptoms and possible
causes. There is no cure for fibromyalgia. Therefore, many patients are
prescribed an array of painkillers (i.e., everything from Tylenol to Oxy-
contin), anti-inflammatories, antidepressants, and benzodiazepines (i.e.,
Valium or Xanax), sleep aids, muscle relaxants, and a variety of other
medications to treat specific comorbid conditions. Studies estimate that
patients spend about $4,570 each year on the direct costs associated with
fibromyalgia such as physicians, tests, and medication.

On June 21, 2007, the first drug approved by the FDA specifically for
treating fibromyalgia, Lyrica (pregabalin), was released. Lyrica was
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shown to reduce pain and improve daily function for some patients. While this is a step forward in the recognition and treatment of fibromyalgia, Lyrica does not appear to be a cure-all. Instead, a multidisciplinary approach to treatment is often utilized to combat the fact that fibromyalgia often manifests itself both physically and psychologically. Therefore, complementary and alternative remedies are often coupled with pharmacological remedies.

Fibromyalgia patients frequently attempt complementary and alternative remedies such as massage, movement therapies, tender-point injections, chiropractic treatments, acupuncture, magnetic therapy, yoga, and various herbs and dietary supplements. One study, based on a sample of 111 fibromyalgia patients, discovered that 98% of the sample had attempted at least one form of alternative treatment during the previous six months. These remedies, as with the medications, are met with varying degrees of success. One non-pharmacological remedy that has proven to be particularly effective is exercise. An exercise program involving multiple dimensions such as strength, aerobic conditioning, flexibility, and balance has been shown to produce positive results, particularly in pain improvement.

The effectiveness of fibromyalgia treatments may be scored by the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire (FIQ), which is a self-administered questionnaire consisting of questions that measure the patient’s physical and mental well-being. A high score indicates a high impact of fibromyalgia on the patient. Researchers believe the FIQ score to be a reliable indicator of the health status of fibromyalgia patients.

Fibromyalgia may be categorized as either primary or secondary. Primary fibromyalgia, by far the most common, is the traditional form in which no trigger may be definitively established. Secondary fibromyalgia, however, is associated with an underlying disease that may be easily diagnosed. Some researchers have attempted to further classify primary
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fibromyalgia into four categories: “1. fibromyalgia with extreme sensitivity to pain but no associated psychiatric conditions, 2. fibromyalgia and comorbid, pain-related depression, 3. depression with concomitant fibromyalgia syndrome, and 4. fibromyalgia due to somatization.”77 These subgroups may aid in developing more individualized and effective treatment programs for fibromyalgia sufferers.78

Although there is no cure or guaranteed method of treatment, fibromyalgia is not progressive.79 It is not fatal80 and has not been shown to decrease life expectancy.81 It does not damage joints, muscles, or organs.82 While it is chronic, and therefore may last a patient’s entire life, fibromyalgia improves in many patients with time.83

II. CONTROVERSY SURROUNDING FIBROMYALGIA

The controversy over fibromyalgia is perhaps best embodied by the fact that one of the men who helped bring the term “fibromyalgia” into existence, Dr. Frederick Wolfe, now disputes his own creation. Dr. Wolfe now believes:

For a moment in time, we thought we had discovered a new physical disease . . . But it was the emperor’s new clothes. When we started out, in the eighties, we saw patients going from doctor to doctor with pain. We believed that by telling them they had fibromyalgia we reduced stress and reduced medical utilization. This idea, a great, humane idea that we can interpret their distress as fibromyalgia and help them—it didn’t turn out that way. My view now is that we are creating an illness rather than curing one.84

Dr. Wolfe is not the only one with a differing view on fibromyalgia. In fact, “[h]ardly any other clinical entity is currently drawing as much criticism as fibromyalgia.”85 One major recipient of criticism is the diagnostic criteria set forth by the ACR.86
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A. Criticism of the Tender Points Test

The diagnostic criteria for fibromyalgia first set forth in 1990 are 1) at least three months of chronic widespread pain, and 2) pain at a minimum of eleven out of eighteen tender points sites when four kilograms of pressure (8.8 pounds) is applied. Critics have identified several problems with these criteria.

First, the tender points requirement suggests that fibromyalgia patients experience pain only at the eighteen specified locations. However, studies suggest that fibromyalgia patients are sensitive to pain throughout the body. Second, the pain associated with fibromyalgia varies from day-to-day. Thus, a patient might experience pain at the required eleven tender points on one day and not the next. Third, the diagnostic criteria focus only on pain and ignore the myriad of other symptoms associated with fibromyalgia. In so doing, “the criteria ‘fail to capture the essence of the FM syndrome.’” Fourth, the tender points examination does not differentiate between men and women. Pain threshold is lower in women than in men; therefore, the pressure applied during the tender points examination will result in a disproportionate number of women diagnosed than men. Fifth, the tender points examination requires the hand of a skilled physician to apply the exact amount of pressure to the correct tender points sites. This process is susceptible to human error and may result in an erroneous diagnosis by a physician who either applies an incorrect amount of pressure or applies the pressure to an incorrect location. Finally, the lack of a truly objective diagnosis forces doctors to rely on self-reporting, which makes validation “difficult or impossible.” The limitations of the diagnostic criteria lead to bigger concerns for some critics: “These purely subjective criteria, which are of little help in establishing an
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exact diagnosis, have again and again raised doubts about the very existence of fibromyalgia.\textsuperscript{99}

B. A Purely Psychological Condition?

Leading practitioners and academics believe that labeling symptoms as fibromyalgia often does more harm than good.\textsuperscript{100} They believe that the symptoms of fibromyalgia are real but that they originate in the mind and not in the body.\textsuperscript{101} Dr. Arthur Barsky argues that fibromyalgia patients “become trapped in the belief that their symptoms are due to disease, with future expectations of debility and doom. This enhances their vigilance about their body, and thus the intensity of their symptoms.”\textsuperscript{102} Barsky also points out the existence of “powerful groups with vested interests in the perpetuation of [fibromyalgia], including doctors and other practitioners who run clinics, lawyers involved in disability litigation, and drug companies marketing treatments of unsubstantiated benefit. This locks the patient into a closed circle of belief.”\textsuperscript{103} Some experts believe that fibromyalgia is nothing more than a person overwhelmed with psycho-social stress manifesting that stress in what is perceived as a more socially acceptable manner—via physical symptoms.\textsuperscript{104}

C. Can Physical Trauma Cause Fibromyalgia?

Other experts, while conceding that fibromyalgia does exist, believe that “[o]verall, . . . data from the literature are insufficient to indicate whether causal relationships exist between trauma and fibromyalgia.”\textsuperscript{105} However, as with most areas of fibromyalgia, the relationship between physical trauma and fibromyalgia is disputed.\textsuperscript{106} Many studies have shown that fibromyalgia can be caused by physical trauma.\textsuperscript{107} One study found that 39% of patients suffered a physical trauma before the onset of fibro-
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Despite new studies showing a link between physical trauma and fibromyalgia, controversy remains. D. Malingering and Fibromyalgia

Critics also point out that malingering is a major problem in the realm of fibromyalgia. Some critics believe that, in addition to other concerns, fibromyalgia is “further complicated by the potential influence of the availability of compensation for the syndrome. In settings where compensation is widely available, illnesses similar to fibromyalgia have been shown to increase in apparent prevalence . . . then to fall when compensation availability declines.” These critics point out that the lack of an objective diagnosis makes it easier for patients to malinger effectively. Some liken fibromyalgia to the mysterious epidemic of forearm pain that arose in Australia in the mid-1980s. When courts began to require objective evidence of the condition and compensation rules became more stringent, the claims suddenly disappeared. However, a recent study has found that fibromyalgia is more prevalent in Amish populations than non-Amish populations. This counters the idea that fibromyalgia patients are motivated by financial incentives because the Amish seek no compensation.

The lack of an objective diagnosis, the possibility that it is a purely psychological illness, the possibility that physical trauma cannot cause it, and malingering all cause substantial problems for fibromyalgia sufferers in the context of workers’ compensation recovery. Before examining the interplay between these problems and those caused by the requirements of workers’ compensation laws, a brief overview of the history and current state of workers’ compensation may be helpful.

III. OVERVIEW OF WORKERS’ COMPENSATION

In 1884, Germany became the first country to enact a workers’ compensation statute. By the end of the nineteenth century, all the industria-
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lized nations of Europe had passed their own statutes mandating workers’ compensation. Although it was clear that the system of employer liability in place in the United States at that time was in desperate need of reform, the birth of workers’ compensation law came about at a slower pace. Powerful defenses to the common law duties of employers made recovery for injured workers extremely difficult. Legislatures first responded to this inequity by passing so-called Employer Liability Acts. However, these proved unsuccessful in allowing fair recovery for injured workers. Thus, during the early years of the twentieth century, many states began investigating the workers’ compensation problem. Maryland enacted the first workers’ compensation statute in 1902. Other states then began to pass and refine increasingly sophisticated statutes dealing with workers’ compensation in an attempt to create a workable system that would stand up to constitutional scrutiny. States became more and more successful in this endeavor as time passed (most states ended up adopting specific constitutional amendments to allow for workers’ compensation legislation), and Mississippi became the final state then in the union to enact such legislation in 1949.

Modern workers’ compensation legislation requires almost all employers to carry insurance or to qualify as self-insurers. Its purpose is to provide guaranteed monetary benefits, including medical expenses, to disabled employees. Workers’ compensation operates on a “no fault” basis. Therefore, benefits are provided to the employee regardless of any fault on behalf of the employee that may have contributed to the disablement.

Although each state has its own, somewhat unique, version of workers’ compensation legislation, the basic requirements are largely the
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same. The first requirement is that the disablement must be the result of an injury by accident or an occupational disease. An injury is “accidental” within the meaning of workers’ compensation statutes when it “occurs unexpectedly and without affirmative act or design by the employee.”

“The mere apprehension that an injury [that] did occur was likely to occur [sometime] in the future does not deprive” the injury of its accidental nature as long as the worker did not intend or expect that the injury would result on that particular occasion. Many courts interpret the term “injury by accident” liberally in favor of the injured employee.

A worker may also recover workers’ compensation benefits if the disablement is a result of an occupational disease. An occupational disease is “[a]ny disease . . . which is proven to be due to causes and conditions which are characteristic of and peculiar to a particular trade, occupation or employment, but excluding all ordinary diseases of life to which the general public is equally exposed outside of the employment.”

In addition to the requirement that the worker’s disablement be the result of an injury by accident or an occupational disease, the disablement must also “arise out of and be in the course of employment.” This requirement is a two-part test—the injury must arise out of employment and occur in the course of employment. “An injury ‘arises out of’ employment if a causal connection exists between the employment and the injury.” Further, an “injury arises in the course of employment when the injury and the employment coincide as to time, place, and circumstances.”

IV. PROBLEMS FOR FIBROMYALGIA PATIENTS ATTEMPTING TO RECOVER WORKERS’ COMPENSATION

The recovery requirements of workers’ compensation laws and the problems inherent in fibromyalgia itself combine to present a huge array of problems for fibromyalgia patients attempting to recover benefits. The
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first of these problems occurs because fibromyalgia is difficult to classify as either an injury by accident or an occupational disease.

A. Fibromyalgia as an Injury by Accident—Problems with Causation

Often, fibromyalgia patients attempt to recover workers’ compensation by claiming that their fibromyalgia resulted from an accidental injury sustained at work. The accidental injuries that workers claim resulted in fibromyalgia range from falls142 and physical attacks,143 to dog bites144 and small cuts.145 Despite the counterintuitive nature of the causal link between injuries such as a dog bite or small cut to fibromyalgia, claimants almost always offer scientific evidence and expert testimony to show causation. However, workers still have a difficult time proving that the fibromyalgia “arose from” the employment because its causes are not known.146

Many studies have shown that fibromyalgia can be caused by physical trauma—even minute physical trauma like a cut or dog bite.147 However, as with most areas of fibromyalgia, the relationship between physical trauma and fibromyalgia is disputed.148 Thus, courts are often presented with conflicting evidence as to whether a physical trauma may cause fibromyalgia.149 In a great majority of these cases, the court will settle the conflicting evidence in favor of the employer.150 After the worker appeals, the appellate court may hold nothing but, “[d]espite the presence of contrary medical proof, it was within [the court’s] province to resolve the conflicting evidence in favor of the employer.”151 While deferring to the findings of the lower court if reasonable is the duty of the appellate court in this situation, some courts appear less willing to defer when the lower court has allowed recovery for fibromyalgia.152 Some courts have even gone as far as to reject expert testimony that fibromyalgia was caused by
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an accidental injury at work even when the employer offers no contradictory expert testimony.\textsuperscript{153}

This same scenario of courts weighing conflicting testimony in favor of the employer also plays out when employers offer evidence that fibromyalgia does not exist at all or is a purely psychological illness for which workers may not be compensated. Because it does not exist, or is purely psychological, employers argue that the fibromyalgia did not “arise from” the employment. These causation issues present huge problems for workers because a “‘[c]laimant’s subjective belief that her pain syndromes . . . must have originated in her work is entitled to little weight.’”\textsuperscript{154} Thus, courts often find any offer of proof as to causation “too speculative” to warrant recovery.\textsuperscript{155}

Some courts also require objective evidence of fibromyalgia, which is of course a subjective condition that is not susceptible to objective evidence.\textsuperscript{156} When no objective evidence is presented, workers are denied benefits.\textsuperscript{157} Claimants attempting to offer what objective evidence is available of fibromyalgia, such as the tender points test, have also been denied recovery because this evidence is “transitory” and not truly objective.\textsuperscript{158}

**B. Fibromyalgia as an “Occupational Disease”**

It is perhaps even more difficult to classify fibromyalgia as an occupational disease. An occupational disease, as stated above, is “[a]ny disease . . . which is proven to be due to causes and conditions which are characteristic of and peculiar to a particular trade, occupation or employment, but excluding all ordinary diseases of life to which the general public is equally exposed outside of the employment.”\textsuperscript{159} When workers cannot point to a specific accidental injury that led to fibromyalgia, they often attempt to classify it as an occupational disease.\textsuperscript{160} However, courts have been reluctant to accept this theory of recovery, often holding that the
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risks that led to the fibromyalgia were not characteristic of and peculiar to the particular occupation.161

Workers bring fibromyalgia claims under the theory of an occupational disease in all sorts of circumstances. Many claim fibromyalgia as the result of repetitive motions at work.162 Some have claimed poorly ventilated fumes led to fibromyalgia.163 Despite medical evidence showing causation in these cases, courts have been extremely hesitant to classify fibromyalgia as an occupational disease.164

C. The Effects of Malingering on Recovery

Another problem that occurs when workers attempt to recover workers’ compensation benefits for fibromyalgia deals with the high number of malingerers. Because there is no objective diagnosis, the problem of malingering is enhanced in fibromyalgia cases. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders describes malingering as “the intentional production of false or grossly exaggerated physical or psychological symptoms, motivated by external incentives such as avoiding military duty, avoiding work, obtaining financial compensation, evading criminal prosecution, or obtaining drugs.”165 Malingerers not only take advantage of the system in a financial sense but also cast a shadow of doubt over those truly suffering. Those actually malingering166 lead courts to doubt the sincerity of fibromyalgia patients in cases even when no evidence is shown to suggest the claimant is faking.167

D. Effect of These Problems on the Ability of Fibromyalgia Patients to Recover Workers’ Compensation Benefits

Since 2003, workers’ compensation claims in which a worker sought to recover benefits for fibromyalgia have been denied in a significant majority of reported and unreported cases.168 This is in stark contrast to the
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166. See, e.g., Sjostrand v. N.D. Workers Comp. Bureau, 649 N.W.2d 537 (N.D. 2002) (alleged fibromyalgia sufferer caught on videotape doing various strenuous activities).
168. This figure is based on an examination of all the cases since 2003 resulting from a Westlaw search in the “allcases” database for “’workers’ compensation’ & ‘fibromyalgia.’”
purpose of workers’ compensation statutes: to provide speedy, no-fault recovery to injured workers. It is also shocking considering that most courts start with the presumption that recovery should be allowed. To put this rejection rate into perspective, North Carolina statistics show that in the 1999–2000 fiscal year only 132 workers’ compensation claims out of a total of 8,087 were denied in the state. This equals a 98.3% approval rate and a mere 1.7% denial rate. Similarly in Wyoming, studies show that for the fiscal years 2000 and 2001, approximately 89% of the reported injuries were compensable. In British Columbia, Canada, workers are calling for change to their system of workers’ compensation because denial rates reached a ten-year high in 2006 of 8.2%. A majority denial rate for fibromyalgia patients is far greater than expected and shows that current treatment of fibromyalgia by the courts is an injustice that must be remedied.

V. SOLUTIONS FOR COURTS TO ALLOW FIBROMYALGIC WORKERS A FAIR CHANCE AT COMPENSATION

Adding to the array of severe problems that fibromyalgia patients must deal with as a direct result of the illness are the problems in our current system of workers’ compensation that make it extremely difficult for them to recover. In order to best deal with these problems, several steps must be taken. First, courts must acknowledge the existence of fibromyalgia as a real illness.

People are suffering from fibromyalgia. Despite the contentions of those who claim that it does not exist or is purely a psychological illness, too much evidence now exists that this is in fact a real illness with real consequences. Although there is no objective diagnosis for fibromyalgia, there are many commonalities among patients that are objective and all suggest its authenticity: consistent sleep patterns, gray matter loss in regions of the brain dealing with stress and pain processing, genetic correlations, abnormally high levels of Substance P, and decreased levels of serotonin, among others. Courts, even if not explicitly stating that their reason

for not allowing recovery is because they do not believe it exists, appear
to have a negative perception of fibromyalgia that leads them to the con-
clusion that recovery should not be allowed. When weighing equal evi-
dence on both sides, courts end up ruling against recovery in the majority
of cases. Educating the courts about the latest advances in fibromyalgia
studies will help to rid the courts of this negative perception and allow
workers a fair chance at recovery. Weeding out malingerers will also help
to change the negative perception associated with fibromyalgia.

Courts must find a better way to determine whether a particular plain-
tiff actually has fibromyalgia or is simply taking advantage of the system.
The Seventh Eighth Circuits, in ERISA claims, have recently recognized
the tender points test as constituting objective evidence of the presence of
fibromyalgia.173 However, this test is not without limitations of its own174
and until a truly objective test is discovered, courts should consider ac-
cepting expert testimony from mental health professionals to help aid in
determining whether a patient is actually suffering.

Parties to workers’ compensation disputes are increasingly utilizing
psychologists to evaluate the claims.175 This is especially appropriate in the
fibromyalgia context where medical doctors cannot objectively determine a
true diagnosis. Mental health professionals may be able to do so. One of
the most commonly used and most effective tests in detecting malingering
is the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI).176 The
MMPI-2 is the latest version of this test and includes the Fake Bad Scale
(FBS), which is specifically designed to detect malingering in personal
injury cases.177 Studies have shown the FBS to correctly classify 96% of
personal injury claimants diagnosed as malingerers.178 These tests may go
a long way in helping to eliminate those faking fibromyalgia and therefore
lend more credibility to those actually suffering.

Courts must also find an appropriate way to deal with classifying fi-
bromyalgia as an injury by accident. Although many cases have cited to
the fact that scientific studies are inconclusive as to whether a physical
trauma may cause fibromyalgia, recent studies show that this relationship
does in fact exist in some patients.179 Thus, courts should not only allow
workers to offer expert testimony that the physical trauma in question did
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cause the fibromyalgia but should also not simply rule against the worker when the employer offers conflicting expert testimony.

In cases where fibromyalgia is the result of employment, but not an accidental injury, courts have limited options for recourse. However, legislatures can get involved to pass legislation recognizing fibromyalgia as an occupational disease. Many states have incorporated specific findings into their workers' compensation statutes to specifically allow certain conditions to be considered occupational diseases. For example, North Carolina has made statutory allowances for twenty-eight separate conditions. Exceptions for specific conditions have even been made on the federal level. The Black Lung Benefits Act was first passed in 1969 and extended in 1972 to provide cash benefits for coal miners disabled by black lung disease. While hesitant to disrupt state systems of workers' compensation by passing the Act into law, President Richard Nixon noted that he felt compelled to do so because “States have not yet improved their owner-financed laws to meet the challenge posed by black lung—and there are too many victims of this dread disease [to] not to have acted.” Perhaps current legislatures will feel so compelled to do something about the growing number of fibromyalgia sufferers who are not able to fairly recover under the current system.

CONCLUSION

Almost every illness has been unknown at some point in time. Even current diseases as prevalent and universally accepted as Alzheimer’s disease have eluded all attempts to find a cause, objective diagnosis, or cure. Lesser known diseases like relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis can also provide a model by which fibromyalgia may be compared. Before the advent of MRI and other technologies, relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis sufferers were “dismissed as being psychologically disturbed or malingerers, complaining of odd neurological symptoms like blindness and dizziness and drunken gait, yet appear[ing] virtually neurologically intact on examination.” When the proper technology came along, however, these patients were shown as true sufferers of a true illness. In the fibromyalgia context, just as it did with this form of multiple sclerosis, “[t]echnology ultimately will catch up with reality and will prove [fibro-
myalgia] doubters wrong."185 Until that time, courts and legislatures must find a way to allow fibromyalgia sufferers a fair chance at recovery under workers’ compensation.
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